arXiv:1402.6923v1 [math.AG] 27 Feb 2014

ARITHMETIC OF CHARACTER VARIETIES OF FREE GROUPS

SERGEY MOZGOVOY AND MARKUS REINEKE

Abstract. Via counting over finite fields, we derive explicit formulas for the E-polynomials and Euler characteristics of GL_d- and PGL_d-character varieties of free groups. We prove a positivity property for these polynomials and relate them to the number of subgroups of finite index.

1. Introduction and statement of the results

Given a finitely generated group Γ and a complex reductive algebraic group G, one can consider the character variety

$$X_\Gamma(G) = \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/\!\!/G = \mathrm{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]^G\right),$$

the spectrum of the (finitely generated) ring of G-invariant functions on the space of representations of Γ in G. The study of these varieties, in particular for Γ the fundamental group of a manifold, is a common theme in geometry and topology. Arithmetic aspects of character varieties have been studied very fruitfully e.g. in [7].

From now on let $\Gamma = F_m$ be the free group in $m \geq 0$ generators (i.e. the fundamental group of an $(m+1)$-punctured sphere). The character varieties $X_\Gamma(G)$ for the groups $G = \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$, $G = \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})$, and $G = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ were studied e.g. in [4, 5], and from an arithmetic point of view in [3]. We summarize some basic geometric properties of these representation varieties. For $m \geq 2$, the variety $X_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$ is an irreducible affine variety of dimension $(m-1)d^2+1$. It is usually a singular variety; its smooth locus typically reduces to $X_\Gamma^{\mathrm{irr}}(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$, the subset corresponding to irreducible representations.

Basic to the arithmetic study of character varieties is the following approach: there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-model $\mathcal{X}_d$ of $X_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$ using Seshadri's GIT over base rings [15]. Namely, consider the scheme

$$\mathcal{X}_d = \mathrm{Spec}\left(\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z}))]^{\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z})}\right)$$

over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{X}_d \simeq X_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})).$$

We find a similar open subscheme $\mathcal{X}_d^{\mathrm{irr}} \subset \mathcal{X}_d$ of irreducible representations (over some open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$).

We can thus reduce to finite fields and consider the counting functions

$$A_d(q) = |\mathcal{X}_d(\mathbb{F}_q)|, \qquad A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q) = \left|\mathcal{X}_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(\mathbb{F}_q)\right|$$

defined on prime powers q. By definition, $A_d(q)$ (resp. $A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)$) equals the number of isomorphism classes of completely reducible representations (resp. of absolutely irreducible representations, that is, representations that stay irreducible under any

Date: February 28, 2014.

finite field extension) of the group F_m of dimension d over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Our first main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *The varieties $X_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$ and $X^{\mathrm{irr}}_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$ are polynomial count* [7, Appendix]*, that is, the functions $A_d(q)$ and $A^{\mathrm{irr}}_d(q)$ are polynomials with integer coefficients in q. Consequently, the E-polynomials of these varieties are*

$$E(X_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}));u,v)=A_d(uv), \qquad E(X^{\mathrm{irr}}_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}));u,v)=A^{\mathrm{irr}}_d(uv).$$

Similar formulas for the group $\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ are proved in Corollary 2.6. The above theorem immediately follows from explicit formulas for $A_d(q)$ and A^{irr}_d which we now formulate. Consider the formal power series ring $\mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!]$ with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. We define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear shift operator T on $\mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!]$ by

$$T(t^d)=q^{(1-m)\binom{d}{2}}t^d. \tag{1}$$

We define the plethystic exponential $\mathrm{Exp}:\mathfrak{m}\to 1+\mathfrak{m}$ by

$$\mathrm{Exp}(q^it^d)=(1-q^it^d)^{-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{Exp}(f+g)=\mathrm{Exp}(f)\,\mathrm{Exp}(g). \tag{2}$$

It admits an inverse $\mathrm{Log}:1+\mathfrak{m}\to\mathfrak{m}$. Define the power operator [12]

$$\mathrm{Pow}:(1+\mathfrak{m})\times\mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!]\to 1+\mathfrak{m}, \qquad \mathrm{Pow}(f,g)=\mathrm{Exp}(g\,\mathrm{Log}(f)).$$

Finally, define the series

$$F(t)=\sum_{d\geq 0}\big((q-1)\dots(q^d-1)\big)^{m-1}t^d\in\mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!]. \tag{3}$$

Theorem 1.2. *In $\mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!]$, we have*

$$\sum_{d\geq 0}A_d(q)t^d=\mathrm{Pow}(T^{-1}F(t)^{-1},1-q),$$

$$\sum_{d\geq 1}A^{\mathrm{irr}}_d(q)t^d=(1-q)\,\mathrm{Log}(T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}).$$

Using combinatorial methods, we prove a quite surprising positivity property of the counting polynomials $A_d(q)$:

Theorem 1.3. *We have $A_d(q)\in\mathbb{N}[q-1]$.*

Contrarily, $A^{\mathrm{irr}}_d(q)$ does not fulfill this property. It is tempting to interpret this result geometrically, for example as a prediction for a paving of $X_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ by tori (or at least quotients by finite groups of tori); but such a paving can not be expected to be very natural since it cannot be compatible with $X^{\mathrm{irr}}_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$. It would be interesting to test this prediction for the rather explicitly known $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character varieties. We can determine explicitly the lowest order Taylor coefficient of $A_d(q)$ around $q=1$ to derive:

Theorem 1.4. *For $m\geq 2$, the topological Euler characteristic of the varieties $X_\Gamma(\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$ and $X^{\mathrm{irr}}_\Gamma(\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$ are given by*

$$\chi(X_\Gamma(\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})))=\varphi(d)d^{m-2},$$

$$\chi(X^{\mathrm{irr}}_\Gamma(\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})))=\mu(d)d^{m-2},$$

where φ and μ denote the Euler totient function, resp. the Möbius function.

Again, it would be interesting to have a geometrical explanation for these Euler characteristics. We can also count absolutely indecomposable representations (that is, representations that stay indecomposable under any finite field extension) of F_m over finite fields:

Theorem 1.5. *For any $d \geq 1$, there exists a polynomial $A_d^{\mathrm{ind}}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ that counts isomorphism classes of absolutely indecomposable representations of F_m of dimension d over $\mathbb{F}_q$ for every prime power q. These polynomials satisfy*

$$\sum_{d\geq 1} A_d^{\mathrm{ind}}(q)t^d = (q-1)\,\mathrm{Log}\left(\sum_{\lambda} r_\lambda^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}\right),$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions and, for any partition λ,

$$r_\lambda = \prod_{n\geq 1} q^{\lambda_n^2}(q^{-1})_{\lambda_n-\lambda_{n+1}}, \qquad (q)_n = (1-q)\dots(1-q^n).$$

In contrast to [3], our approach to the arithmetic of the character varieties is non-geometric and purely formal. It is based on the Hall algebra approach to the arithmetic of moduli spaces of representations of quivers developed in [14]. In fact, we can directly adopt the methods there to derive the explicit formula for $A_d(q)$. The other results follow by a detailed study of the right hand side of this formula and explicit combinatorics. One can expect this approach to even work in a motivic Hall algebra [1], leading to a formula similar to Theorem 1.2 for the motives of character varieties.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we recall the Hall algebra methods of [14] to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 3, we derive Theorem 1.4 using an elementary argument. Combinatorial notions are introduced in Section 4 to derive Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, we derive a formula for the number of absolutely indecomposable representations of F_m over finite fields. In Section 6, we explain how the numbers of subgroups of fixed index in free groups [6] can be reconstructed from the counting polynomials, providing a potential link of the present study with the topic of subgroup growth [10].

2. Computation of the counting polynomial

Let $\Gamma = F_m$ be the free group in m generators and let k be a field. A representation of the group algebra $k\Gamma$ can be identified with a representation of the free associative algebra A with m generators such that all generators act bijectively on the representation. Therefore the category of $k\Gamma$-representations can be identified with an exact subcategory of the abelian category of A-representations. Since the algebra A is a path algebra of the quiver with one vertex and m loops, the methods of [14] for the explicit calculation of the number of isomorphism classes of absolutely irreducible representations of quivers continue to work for Γ-representations. We recall the main steps of this calculation and refer to [14] for the proofs which hold without any changes.

In the following, let k be a finite field with q elements. We first define the Hall algebra $H(\!(k\Gamma)\!)$ of the group algebra $k\Gamma$. As a (complete, $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded) $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, we define

$$H(\!(k\Gamma)\!) = \prod_{[V]} \mathbb{Q}\cdot[V],$$

where the direct product ranges over all isomorphism classes of (finite-dimensional) representations V of $k\Gamma$. We have a natural grading by the dimension $\dim V$. We define a product on $H(\!(k\Gamma)\!)$ by

$$[V] \cdot [W] = \sum_{[X]} g_{V,W}^X [X],$$

where $g_{V,W}^X$ equals the number of subrepresentations $U \subset X$ such that U is isomorphic to W and X/U is isomorphic to V. Then we have [14, 3.3]:

Lemma 2.1. *This product endows $H(\!(k\Gamma)\!)$ with a structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded complete local associative unital $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. In particular, every element with constant term 1 (the class of the zero-dimensional representation) is invertible in $H(\!(k\Gamma)\!)$.*

We define an evaluation map

$$I : H(\!(k\Gamma)\!) \to \mathbb{Q}[\![t]\!], \qquad [V] \mapsto \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(V)|} t^{\dim V}.$$

By [14, Lemma 3.4], we have:

Lemma 2.2. *The composition $T \circ I : H(\!(k\Gamma)\!) \to \mathbb{Q}[\![t]\!]$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism, where the operator T is defined by* (1).

We consider the (invertible) element

$$e = \sum_{[V]} [V] \in H(\!(k\Gamma)\!).$$

Using $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_d(k)) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_d(k)^m$ and

$$|\mathrm{GL}_d(k)| = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (q^d - q^i) = q^{\binom{d}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} (q^i - 1),$$

the following is easily verified:

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$I(e) = T^{-1}F(t), \qquad I(e^{-1}) = T^{-1}F(t)^{-1},$$

where $F(t)$ is defined by (3).

Proof. By the definitions, we have

$$I(e) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{|\mathrm{GL}_d(k)^m|}{|\mathrm{GL}_d(k)|} t^d = \sum_{d \geq 0} \left(q^{\binom{d}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} (q^i - 1) \right)^{m-1} t^d = T^{-1}F(t).$$

This implies $TI(e) = F(t)$ and therefore $TI(e^{-1}) = F(t)^{-1}$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore $I(e^{-1}) = T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}$. □

The key lemma towards counting absolutely irreducible representations is [14, Lemma 3.5]:

Lemma 2.4. *Writing*

$$e^{-1} = \sum_{[V]} \gamma_V [V]$$

in $H(\!(k\Gamma)\!)$, we have the following description of the coefficients γ_V

(1) *If V is not completely reducible, then $\gamma_V = 0$.*

(2) *If* $V = \bigoplus_{[S]} S^{m_S}$ *is completely reducible (the direct sum ranging over all isomorphism classes of irreducible representations* S *of* $k\Gamma$*), then*

$$\gamma_V = \prod_{[S]} (-1)^{m_S} |\operatorname{End}(S)|^{\binom{m_S}{2}}.$$

Based on this lemma, one can prove (see [14, Theorem 4.2]) that:

Theorem 2.5. *The function* $A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)$ *is a polynomial in* q *and we have in* $\mathbb{Q}[\![t]\!]$

$$I(e^{-1}) = \operatorname{Exp}\left(\frac{1}{1-q}\sum_{d\geq 1} A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)t^d\right)_{q=|k|}.$$

Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We obtain from Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.3 that $A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)$ is a polynomial in q satisfying in $\mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!]$

$$\sum_{d\geq 1} A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)t^d = (1-q)\operatorname{Log}\left(T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}\right).$$

This implies that $A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)$ has integer coefficients. Using [12, Lemma 5], one can prove that $A_d(q)$ is a polynomial in q satisfying in $\mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!]$

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} A_d(q)t^d = \operatorname{Exp}\left(\sum_{d\geq 1} A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)t^d\right).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} A_d(q)t^d = \operatorname{Exp}\left((1-q)\operatorname{Log}\left(T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}\right)\right) = \operatorname{Pow}\left(T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}, 1-q\right)$$

and $A_d(q)$ has integer coefficients. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove Theorem 1.1, we note that by [7, Appendix], the E-polynomials are given by the counting polynomials evaluated at $q = uv$. □

Now we pass to the $\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})$-character varieties. There is a free action of the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ on $X_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$. The quotient fibration $X_\Gamma(\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})) \to X_\Gamma(\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C}))$ has fibres isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ and is Zariski locally trivial by Hilbert 90. Similarly for the open subsets corresponding to irreducible representations.

Consequently, we see that the E-polynomials of the $\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})$-character varieties are known:

Corollary 2.6. *We have*

$$E(X_\Gamma(\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})); u, v) = \frac{1}{(uv-1)^m} A_d(uv),$$

$$E(X_\Gamma(\mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C}))^{\mathrm{irr}}; u, v) = \frac{1}{(uv-1)^m} A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(uv).$$

We conclude this section with an example. First, we have

$$A_1^{\mathrm{irr}}(q) = A_1(q) = (q-1)^m.$$

As the first nontrivial special case of Theorem 1.2, we have $A_2^{\mathrm{irr}}(q) =$

$$(q-1)^m\left(q^{m-1}(q-1)^{m-1}((q+1)^{m-1}-1) - \frac{1}{2}(q+1)^{m-1} + \frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{m-1}\right).$$

This implies

$$A_2(q) = A_2^{\mathrm{irr}}(q) + \frac{1}{2}\left(A_1^{\mathrm{irr}}(q^2) + A_1^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)^2\right) =$$
$$(q-1)^m\left(q^{m-1}(q-1)^{m-1}\left((q+1)^{m-1}-1\right) + \frac{1}{2}q\left((q+1)^{m-1}+(q-1)^{m-1}\right)\right)$$

and thus

$$E(X_\Gamma(\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})); u, v) = \frac{1}{(uv-1)^m}A_2(uv)$$
$$= (uv)^{m-1}(uv-1)^{m-1}\left((uv+1)^{m-1}-1\right) + \frac{1}{2}uv\left((uv+1)^{m-1}+(uv-1)^{m-1}\right),$$

which should be compared with [3, Theorem B].

3. Euler characteristic

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. We first need a lemma on the behaviour of specialization at $q = 1$ for special plethystic exponentials and logarithms. Let A be the subring of $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ consisting of all rational functions without pole at $q = 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that*

$$\mathrm{Log}\left(1 + (q-1)^m \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n(q)t^n\right) = (q-1)^m \sum_{n\geq 1} b_n(q)t^n \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!].$$

Then $a_n(q) \in A$ for all $n \geq 1$ if and only if $b_n(q) \in A$ for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover,

$$b_n(1) = \sum_{d|n} a_{n/d}(1)\mu(d)d^{m-1}, \qquad a_n(1) = \sum_{d|n} b_{n/d}(1)d^{m-1}.$$

Proof. We recall a more direct definition of the operators Exp and Log on formal power series: for $n \geq 1$, define the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear Adams operator ψ_n on $R = \mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!]$ by $\psi_n(q^i t^j) = q^{ni}t^{nj}$, and define $\Psi = \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n}\psi_n$ with inverse $\Psi^{-1} = \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\mu(n)}{n}\psi_n$. Then $\mathrm{Exp} = \exp\circ\Psi$ and $\mathrm{Log} = \Psi^{-1}\circ\log$.

Using this description,we immediately derive the following formula:

$$\mathrm{Log}\left(1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} r_n(q)t^n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{ij=n}\frac{\mu(i)}{i}\sum_{j=c_1+\ldots+c_l}\frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l}\prod_{k=1}^{l} r_{c_k}(q^i)t^n.$$

Now if $r_n(q) = (q-1)^m a_n(q)$, then

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} b_n(q)t^n = (q-1)^{-m}\,\mathrm{Log}\left(1 + (q-1)^m\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n(q)t^n\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{ij=n}\frac{\mu(i)}{i}\sum_{j=c_1+\ldots+c_l}\frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l}\frac{(q^i-1)^{ml}}{(q-1)^m}\prod_{k=1}^{l} a_{c_k}(q^i)t^n.$$

We see that the summand corresponding to the decomposition $j = c_1 + \cdots + c_l$ has a zero of order at least $m(l-1)$ at $q = 1$. Specializing this formula at $q = 1$,

we see that only terms with $l = 1$(and thus $c_1 = j$) contribute. Thus the formula simplifies to

$$b_n(1) = \sum_{ij=n} \frac{\mu(i)}{i} i^m a_j(1) = \sum_{ij=n} a_j(1)\mu(i) i^{m-1},$$

and the first claim follows.

Similarly, we prove the second claim. Using the above description of Exp we derive the following formula:

$$\mathrm{Exp}\left(\sum_{n\geq 1} r_n(q)t^n\right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=c_1+\ldots+c_l} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{(i_k j_k = c_k)_k} \prod_{k=1}^{l} \frac{r_{j_k}(q^{i_k})}{i_k} t^n.$$

Now if $r_n(q) = (q-1)^m b_n(q)$, this formula yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n(q)t^n &= \frac{1}{(q-1)^m}\left(\mathrm{Exp}\left((q-1)^m \sum_{n\geq 1} b_n(q)t^n\right) - 1\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=c_1+\ldots+c_l} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{(i_k j_k = c_k)_k} \prod_{k=1}^{l} \frac{b_{j_k}(q^{i_k})(q^{i_k}-1)^m}{i_k} \frac{1}{(q-1)^m} t^n.\end{aligned}$$

As soon as $l \geq 2$ in a summand on the right hand side, this summand specializes to zero at $q = 1$. Thus, after this specialization, we only have to consider summands with $l = 1$, and thus $c_1 = n$, which reads

$$a_n(1) = \sum_{ij=n} \frac{b_j(1)}{i} \left.\frac{(q^i-1)^m}{(q-1)^m}\right|_{q=1} = \sum_{ij=n} b_j(1) i^{m-1},$$

as claimed. □

We can now prove Theorem 1.4:

Proof. We can write the series $T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}$ of the previous sections in the form

$$T^{-1}F(t)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{d\geq 1} (q-1)^{d(m-1)} a_d(q) t^d$$

for some $a_d(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$ with $a_1(q) = -1$. By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\frac{1}{(q-1)^m} \sum_{d\geq 1} A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q) t^d = -\frac{1}{(q-1)^{m-1}} \mathrm{Log}\left(1 + \sum_{d\geq 1} (q-1)^{d(m-1)} a_d(q) t^d\right)$$

and applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\left.\frac{1}{(q-1)^m} A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)\right|_{q=1} = -a_1(1)\mu(d) d^{m-2} = \mu(d) d^{m-2},$$

which, together with Corollary 2.6, proves the first part of the theorem. Setting $b_d(q) = (q-1)^{-m} A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)$ we can write, by Theorem 1.2,

$$\sum A_d(q) t^d = \mathrm{Exp}\left((q-1)^m \sum_{d\geq 1} b_d(q) t^d\right)$$

and applying Lemma 3.1 again, we derive

$$\frac{1}{(q-1)^m}A_d(q)\Big|_{q=1} = \sum_{ij=d} b_j(1)i^{m-1} = \sum_{ij=d} \mu(j)j^{m-2}i^{m-1}$$
$$= \sum_{ij=d} \mu(j)i \cdot d^{m-2} = \varphi(d)d^{m-2},$$

proving the second part of the theorem, again by Corollary 2.6. □

4. Positivity

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3, that is, that the polynomials $A_d(q)$ determined in the previous sections satisfy

$$A_d \in \mathbb{N}[s], \qquad s = q-1. \tag{4}$$

By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} A_d t^d = \mathrm{Pow}\left(T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}, 1-q\right), \tag{5}$$

where the series $F(t)$, defined in (3), can be written in the form

$$F(t) = \sum_{d\geq 0} [d]_q^{!(m-1)} \left((q-1)^{m-1}t\right)^d, \tag{6}$$

with $[d]_q^! = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{q^i-1}{q-1}$. We will prove positivity in several steps.

4.1. **Positivity of the inverse.** Let

$$F(t)^{-1} = 1 - \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n(q)t^n. \tag{7}$$

We claim that $a_n \in \mathbb{N}[s]$, where $s = q-1$. We will prove actually a stronger result.

Let S_n be the group of permutations of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For any $\sigma \in S_n$, let $l(\sigma)$ denote its length. It can be described as a number of inversions

$$l(\sigma) = |\{i < j \mid \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}|.$$

Let $G_n = S_n^{m-1}$ and, for any $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}) \in G_n$, let

$$l(\sigma) = l(\sigma_1) + \dots + l(\sigma_{m-1}).$$

For any $n \geq 1$, let $P_n \subset G_n$ be the set of connected elements, that is, elements $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1})$ such that there is no subinterval $[k]$ for $k < n$, fixed by all σ_i.

Theorem 4.1. *Let*

$$\left(\sum_{n\geq 0} [n]_q^{!(m-1)} t^n\right)^{-1} = 1 - \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n.$$

Then

$$a_n = \sum_{\sigma\in P_n} q^{l(\sigma)} \in \mathbb{N}[q].$$

Proof. It is known [11, Ch. III, Eq. 1.3.viii] that

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} q^{l(\sigma)} = [n]_q^!.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}[n]_q^{!(m-1)}t^n = \sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{\sigma\in G_n} q^{l(\sigma)}t^n.$$

The theorem will be proved if we will show that

$$\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{\sigma\in G_n} q^{l(\sigma)}t^n\right)\left(1-\sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{\sigma\in P_n} q^{l(\sigma)}t^n\right) = 1$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{\sigma\in G_n} q^{l(\sigma)} = \sum_{k+l=n,l\geq 1}\sum_{\sigma\in G_k}\sum_{\tau\in P_l} q^{l(\sigma)+l(\tau)}.$$

Any element in G_n can be uniquely written in the form $(\sigma,\tau)\in G_k\times P_l$ where $k+l=n$, $k\geq 0$, and $l\geq 1$. It is clear that the length of (σ,τ) is equal to $l(\sigma)+l(\tau)$ and the theorem follows. □

Applying this theorem to (6), we see that the polynomials a_n determined by (7) are contained in $\mathbb{N}[s]$. The same is then true if we substitute $F(t)^{-1}$ by $T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}$.

4.2. **Positivity of the power.** The goal of this section is to prove the following result

Theorem 4.2. *Let*

$$f = 1-\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n(q)t^n \in \mathbb{Q}[q][\![t]\!],$$

where $a_n\in\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[q-1]$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{Pow}(f,1-q)\in\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[q-1][\![t]\!].$$

Applying this result to $f=T^{-1}F(t)^{-1}$, we prove (4) and therefore prove Theorem 1.3. In order to prove the above theorem we will use the formula for $\mathrm{Pow}(f,1-q)$ proved in [12, Lemma 22]. Let $\Phi_d(q)$ be the number of irreducible monic polynomials of degree d over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with a nonzero constant coefficient . Then

$$\psi_n(q-1) = q^n-1 = \sum_{d|n} d\Phi_d(q),$$

$$\Phi_n(q) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\mu(n/d)(q^d-1) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\mu(n/d)q^d - \delta_{n1}.$$

It is proved in [12, Lemma 22] that

$$\mathrm{Pow}(f,1-q) = \prod_{d\geq 1}\psi_d(f)^{-\Phi_d}, \tag{8}$$

where on the right we use $f^g=\mathrm{pow}(f,g)=\exp(g\log(f))$. We will show that for f as in the theorem, each multiple on the right is in $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s][\![t]\!]$, where $s=q-1$, and therefore $\mathrm{Pow}(f,1-q)\in\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s][\![t]\!]$ as required.

Remark 4.3. *Note that* $\psi_n(s)=(s+1)^n-1\in\mathbb{N}[s]$. *Therefore, if* $f\in\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s]$, *then* $\psi_n(f)\in\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s]$.

Remark 4.4. *Computer tests show that if* $f \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s][\![t]\!]$*, then* $\mathrm{Pow}(f, q-1) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s][\![t]\!]$*. This is slightly different from our statement. Our strategy of the proof will not work in this case as the multiples on the right of the form* $\mathrm{pow}(f, s^k)$ *are not in* $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s][\![t]\!]$ *in general.*

Lemma 4.5. *For any* $n \geq 1$*, we have*

$$n\Phi_n(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q-1].$$

Proof. We will use the idea from [2]. For $n \geq 2$, we have

$$n\Phi_n(s+1) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)(s+1)^{n/d} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \binom{n/d}{k} s^k.$$

For any $d \mid n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n/d}{k} &= |\{1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_k \leq n/d\}| \\ &= |\{1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_k \leq n \mid d \mid \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k)\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \binom{n/d}{k} &= \sum_{d \geq 1} \mu(d) \cdot |\{1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_k \leq n \mid d \mid \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k, n)\}| \\ &= |\{1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_k \leq n \mid \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k, n) = 1\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for any tuple $1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_k \leq n$ with $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = m$, its contribution to the second sum is

$$\sum_{d | \gcd(m,n)} \mu(d) = \delta_{\gcd(m,n),1}.$$

□

Lemma 4.6. *Let*

$$f = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(q) t^n \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[\![t]\!],$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s]$ *and let* $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s]$*. Then*

$$\mathrm{pow}(f, -g) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s][\![t]\!].$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $\mathrm{pow}(1-t, -g) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s][\![t]\!]$. But

$$\mathrm{pow}(1-t, -g) = \exp(-g \log(1-t)) = \exp\left(g \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^n}{n} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}[s][\![t]\!].$$

□

Applying this lemma to $\psi_d(f)^{-\Phi_d}$ for $d \geq 1$ and using Formula (8), we prove Theorem 4.2.

5. Counting indecomposable representations

Let k be a finite field. For any $d \geq 0$, let $G_d = \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$ and $R_d = \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_m, G_d)$. Then G_d acts on R_d by conjugation. Using the Kac-Stanley-Hua approach [8, 12], we will prove a formula for the number of G_d-orbits in R_d:

Theorem 5.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} |R_d/G_d|\, t^d = \mathrm{Pow}\left(\sum_{\lambda} r_\lambda(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}, q-1\right)_{q=|k|},$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions and, for any partition λ,

$$r_\lambda(q) = \prod_{n\geq 1} q^{\lambda_n^2} (q^{-1})_{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}}, \qquad (q)_n = (1-q)\dots(1-q^n).$$

Proof. Using the Burnside formula, we can write

$$|R_d/G_d| = \sum_{[g]\in G_d/\sim} |R_d^g| \,/\, |G_d^g| = \sum_{g\in G_d/\sim} |G_d^g|^{m-1}, \tag{9}$$

where the sum ranges over the conjugacy classes, R_d^g is the set of g-invariant elements in R_d, and G_d^g is the centralizer of g.

Let us describe the set of conjugacy classes of G_d. Let Φ be the set of all monic irreducible polynomials in a variable t over k with a nonzero constant coefficient. The Jordan blocks of invertible matrices are parametrized by pairs (n, f), where $n \geq 1$ and $f \in \Phi$. The Jordan block $J(n, f)$ corresponds to the action of x on $k[x]/(f^n)$ and has size $n \cdot \deg f$. Conjugacy classes in G_d are parametrized by maps

$$\varphi : \mathbb{N}_{>0} \times \Phi \to \mathbb{N}$$

such that $\sum_{f\in\Phi} \deg f \sum_{n\geq 1} n\varphi(n, f) = d$. Equivalently, we can consider φ as a map $\Phi \to \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{Map}_0(\mathbb{N}_{>0}, \mathbb{N})$ is the set of maps with finite support. The set $\mathcal{P}$ can be identified with the set of all partitions, where to any $m \in \mathcal{P}$ we associate the usual partition λ with $\lambda_n = \sum_{i\geq n} m_i$. Note that $|\lambda| = \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda_n = \sum_{n\geq 1} n m_n$.

The conjugacy class corresponding to $\varphi : \Phi \to \mathcal{P}$ is given by the action of x on

$$V_\varphi = \bigoplus_{f\in\Phi} \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} (k[x]/(f^n))^{\varphi(n,f)}.$$

Its centralizer has order [13, Theorem 2.1]

$$|\mathrm{Aut}(V_\varphi)| = |\mathrm{End}(V_\varphi)| \prod_{f\in\Phi} \prod_{n\geq 1} (q^{-\deg f})_{\varphi(n,f)},$$

where $q = |k|$,

$$\dim \mathrm{End}(V_\varphi) = \sum_{f\in\Phi} \deg f \sum_{k,l\geq 1} \min\{k, l\} \varphi(k, f)\varphi(l, f) = \sum_{f\in\Phi} \deg f \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda(f)_n^2$$

and $\lambda(f)$ is the partition corresponding to $\varphi(-, f) \in \mathcal{P}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Aut}(V_\varphi)| &= \prod_{f\in\Phi} \prod_{n\geq 1} q^{\deg f \cdot \lambda(f)_n^2} (q^{-\deg f})_{\varphi(n,f)} \\ &= \prod_{f\in\Phi} \psi_{\deg f}\left(\prod_{n\geq 1} q^{\lambda(f)_n^2} (q^{-1})_{\lambda(f)_n - \lambda(f)_{n+1}}\right) = \prod_{f\in\Phi} \psi_{\deg f}\left(r_{\lambda(f)}(q)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where ψ_n is the Adams operation. Therefore, applying (9), we obtain

$$|R_d/G_d| = \sum_{\substack{\lambda:\Phi\to\mathcal{P} \\ \sum_f \deg f\cdot|\lambda(f)|=d}} \prod_{f\in\Phi} \psi_{\deg f}\left(r_{\lambda(f)}(q)^{m-1}\right).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d\geq 1} |R_d/G_d|\, t^d &= \sum_{\lambda:\Phi\to\mathcal{P}} \left(\prod_{f\in\Phi} \psi_{\deg f}\left(r_{\lambda(f)}(q)^{m-1}\right)\right) t^{\sum_{f\in\Phi}\deg f\cdot|\lambda(f)|} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda:\Phi\to\mathcal{P}} \prod_{f\in\Phi} \psi_{\deg f}\left(r_{\lambda(f)}(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda(f)|}\right) = \prod_{f\in\Phi} \psi_{\deg f}\left(\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}} r_\lambda(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}\right) \\ &= \prod_{d\geq 1} \psi_d\left(\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}} r_\lambda(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}\right)^{\Phi_d(q)},\end{aligned}$$

where the $\Phi_d(q)$ were defined in Section 4.2. Considering the $\Phi_d(q)$ as polynomials in q and applying Formula (8), we obtain

$$\prod_{d\geq 1} \psi_d\left(\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}} r_\lambda(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}\right)^{\Phi_d(q)} = \operatorname{Pow}\left(\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}} r_\lambda(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}, q-1\right).$$

□

For any $d \geq 1$ let $R_d^{\mathrm{ind}} \subset R_d$ denote the set of absolutely indecomposable representations. This subset is invariant under the action of G_d and the quotient can be identified with the set of isomorphism classes of d-dimensional absolutely indecomposable representations.

Corollary 5.2. *We have*

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \left|R_d^{\mathrm{ind}}/G_d\right| t^d = (q-1)\operatorname{Log}\left(\sum_{\lambda} r_\lambda(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}\right)_{q=|k|},$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions.

Proof. For any finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, let

$$M_d(q) = |R_d(\mathbb{F}_q)/G_d(\mathbb{F}_q)|, \qquad A_d^{\mathrm{ind}}(q) = \left|R_d^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathbb{F}_q)/G_d(\mathbb{F}_q)\right|.$$

We know from the previous theorem that $M_d(q)$ is a polynomial in q. One can prove [12, Lemma 5] that $A_d^{\mathrm{ind}}(q)$ is also a polynomial in q and

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} M_d(q) t^d = \operatorname{Exp}\left(\sum_{d\geq 1} A_d^{\mathrm{ind}}(q) t^d\right).$$

Applying the previous theorem, we obtain $\sum_{d\geq 1} A_d^{\mathrm{ind}}(q) t^d =$

$$= \operatorname{Log}\operatorname{Pow}\left(\sum_{\lambda} r_\lambda(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}, q-1\right) = (q-1)\operatorname{Log}\left(\sum_{\lambda} r_\lambda(q)^{m-1} t^{|\lambda|}\right).$$

□

6. Counting subgroups

The aim of this section is to relate the counting polynomials $A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)$ with the number of index d subgroups of $\Gamma = F_m$. Such a relation is motivated by ideas of $\mathbb{F}_1$-geometry [9]: viewing the symmetric group S_n as GL_n over a hypothetical field with one element, one can expect counts of permutation representations of a group, or, equivalently, (conjugacy classes of) subgroups of finite index, to arise from the counts of representations over fields with q elements by an appropriate limit process $q \to 1$. This is accomplished in Lemma 6.4.

6.1. **Subgroups and permutation representations.** Let G be a finitely generated group. A (permutation) representation of G of order n is an action of G on $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. It is called irreducible if the action of G is transitive. One can define the notion of an isomorphism between two representations in a natural way. Any irreducible order n representation can be written in the form G/H, where H is an index n subgroup of G. Two irreducible representations G/H and G/H' are isomorphic if and only if H and H' are conjugate. Moreover

$$\mathrm{Aut}(G/H) \simeq N_G H/H.$$

Let J_n denote the set of index n subgroups and I_n denote the set of conjugacy classes of index n subgroups (or isoclasses of irreducible order n representations). Given a representation $M = G/H$ in I_n, the number of elements in the conjugacy class of H is equal to

$$|G/N_G H| = \frac{|G/H|}{|N_G H/H|} = \frac{n}{|\mathrm{Aut}\, M|}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|J_n|}{n} = \sum_{M \in I_n} \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}\, M|}. \tag{10}$$

Remark 6.1. *We should call an irreducible representation G/H absolutely irreducible if $|\mathrm{Aut}(G/H)| = 1$, that is, $N_G H = H$. This means that $|J_n|/n$ counts all irreducible representations and not just the absolutely irreducible.*

6.2. **Two formulas.** The set $R_n = \mathrm{Hom}(G, S_n)$ is equipped with an action of S_n by conjugation. Let $R_n^{\mathrm{irr}} \subset R_n$ be the set of irreducible representations. Then

$$I_n = R_n^{\mathrm{irr}}/S_n.$$

According to [16, Ex. 5.13]

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{M \in R_n/S_n} \frac{t^n}{|\mathrm{Aut}\, M|} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{|R_n|}{|S_n|} t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{M \in I_n} \frac{t^n}{|\mathrm{Aut}\, M|} \right), \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |R_n/S_n|\, t^n = \mathrm{Exp}\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} |I_n|\, t^n \right). \tag{12}$$

The second formula is a standard relation between all representations and indecomposable representations.

Remark 6.2. *The second formula is equivalent to the statement of* [16, Ex. 5.13.c], *because*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(G \times \mathbb{Z}, S_n) = \{(M, g) \in R_n \times S_n \mid g \in \mathrm{Aut}\, M\}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{|\mathrm{Hom}(G \times \mathbb{Z}, S_n)|}{|S_n|} = \sum_{M \in R_n} \frac{|\mathrm{Aut}\, M|}{|S_n|} = |R_n/S_n|\,.$$

Remark 6.3. *One can define the "formal" Hall algebra for permutation representations as follows. Let* $J = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} I_n$ *be the set of isoclasses of all irreducible representations. Each* $S \in I_n$ *has two integer parameters* $\dim S = n$ *and* $|\mathrm{Aut}\, S|$. *All representations are parametrized by maps* $m : J \to \mathbb{N}$ *with finite support. Note that*

$$|\mathrm{Aut}\, m| = \prod_{S \in J} m_S! \cdot |\mathrm{Aut}\, S|^{m_S}\,,$$

while in the usual semisimple category $|\mathrm{Aut}\, m| = \prod_{S \in J} |\mathrm{GL}_{m_S}(\mathrm{End}\, S)|$. *The "formal" Hall algebra* H *has these maps as a basis and has multiplication given by*

$$m \circ n = \prod_{S \in J} \binom{m_S + n_S}{m_S} \cdot [m \oplus n].$$

There is an integration map $I : H \to \mathbb{Q}[\![t]\!]$ *(the latter algebra has the usual multiplication) given by*

$$m \mapsto \frac{t^{\dim m}}{|\mathrm{Aut}\, m|} = \prod_{S \in J} \frac{t^{m_S \dim S}}{m_S!\, |\mathrm{Aut}\, S|^{m_S}}.$$

Formula (11) *can be obtained by analyzing this integration map.*

6.3. **The case** $G = F_m$**.** The polynomials $A_d^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)$ count absolutely irreducible representations, while $|J_n|/n$ counts all irreducible permutation representations. There is a straightforward relation between these counts:

Lemma 6.4. *We have*

$$\Psi\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_n^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)}{q-1} t^n\right)_{t = \frac{x}{(q-1)^{m-1}}, q=1} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|J_n|}{n} x^n.$$

Proof. This follows from

$$\Psi\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_n^{\mathrm{irr}}(q)}{1-q} t^n\right) = \log\left(T^{-1}\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} [n]_q^{!(m-1)} ((q-1)^{m-1} t)^n\right)^{-1}\right),$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|J_n|}{n} x^n = \log\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} n!^{m-1} x^n\right).$$

□

An explanation for this relation could be that in order to pass from absolutely irreducible representations to all irreducible representations we have to apply Adams operations as in [14, Lemma 3.2].

References

[1] Tom Bridgeland, *An introduction to motivic Hall algebras*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 1, 102–138, arXiv:1002.4372.

[2] Steve Butler, *The number of ordered tuples with no global factor*, 2006, Preprint.

[3] Samuel Cavazos and Sean Lawton, *E-polynomial of* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$*-Character Varieties of Free groups*, 2014, arXiv:1401.0228.

[4] Carlos Florentino and Sean Lawton, *The topology of moduli spaces of free group representations*, Math. Ann. **345** (2009), no. 2, 453–489.

[5] ———, *Singularities of free group character varieties*, Pacific J. Math. **260** (2012), no. 1, 149–179.

[6] Marshall Hall, Jr., *Subgroups of finite index in free groups*, Canadian J. Math. **1** (1949), 187–190.

[7] Tamás Hausel and Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas, *Mixed Hodge polynomials of character varieties*, Invent. Math. **174** (2008), no. 3, 555–624, arXiv:math/0612668, With an appendix by Nicholas M. Katz.

[8] Jiuzhao Hua, *Counting representations of quivers over finite fields*, J. Algebra **226** (2000), no. 2, 1011–1033.

[9] Javier López Peña and Oliver Lorscheid, *Mapping* $\mathbb{F}_1$*-land: an overview of geometries over the field with one element*, Noncommutative geometry, arithmetic, and related topics, 241–265, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, 2011.

[10] Alexander Lubotzky and Dan Segal, *Subgroup growth*, Progress in Mathematics **212**, Birkhäuser, Basel 2003.

[11] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1995, With contributions by A. Zelevinsky.

[12] Sergey Mozgovoy, *A computational criterion for the Kac conjecture*, J. Algebra **318** (2007), no. 2, 669–679, arXiv:math/0608321.

[13] ———, *Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and McKay correspondence*, 2011, arXiv:1107.6044.

[14] Sergey Mozgovoy and Markus Reineke, *On the number of stable quiver representations over finite fields*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), no. 4, 430–439, arXiv:0708.1259.

[15] C. S. Seshadri, *Geometric reductivity over arbitrary base*, Advances in Math. **26** (1977), no. 3, 225–274.

[16] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.

E-mail address: mozgovoy@maths.tcd.ie

E-mail address: mreineke@uni-wuppertal.de